PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PHNL030328WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/IB2004/050220	International filing date (day/month/year) 09 March 2004 (09.03.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 24 March 2003 (24.03.2003)]
International Patent Classification (IPC 7 G11B 7/00	C) or national classification and IPC	
Applicant KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRO	DNICS N.V.	

1.	This international preliminary re International Searching Authorit	port on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the y under Rule 44 bis.1(a).
2.	This REPORT consists of a total	of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.
		ence to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.
3.	This report contains indications	relating to the following items:
	Box No. I	Basis of the report
	Box No. II	Priority
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application
4.	The International Bureau will conot, except where the applicant redate (Rule 44bis .2).	mmunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but nakes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority
		Date of issuance of this report 01 October 2005 (01.10.2005)

Authorized officer

Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 60

Idhir Britel

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes

1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

RECEIVED 19 OCT 2004

WIPO	PCT

see form PCT/ISA/220

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

International application No. PCT/B2004/050220

International filing date (day/month/year) 09.03.2004

Priority date (day/month/year)

24.03.2003

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G11B7/00

Applicant

To:

KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V.

1.	This opinion	contains	indications	relating t	to the	following	items:
----	--------------	----------	-------------	------------	--------	-----------	--------

Box No. I

Basis of the opinion

☑ Box No. II

Priority

☐ Box No. III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

☐ Box No. IV

Lack of unity of invention

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

☐ Box No. VI

Certain documents cited

☑ Box No. VII

Certain defects in the international application

☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of malling of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. 3.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Kyranos, E

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2604

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

dis

International application No. PCT/IB2004/050220

_	Box	No. I Basis of the opinion
1.	With	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in nguage in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following anguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With ineces	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acld sequence disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. typ	e of material:
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. form	nat of material:
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. time	of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto s been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.
4.	Additio	nal comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/050220

	ox No. II	Priority			
. 🗵	The fol	lowing document h	as not bee	n furnishe	d:
	\boxtimes	copy of the earlier	application	n whose pi	riority has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(a)).
		translation of the	earlier appl	ication who	ose priority has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(b)).
	Conse neverti	quently it has not be neless been establi	een possib shed on th	le to consi e assumpt	der the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has ion that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2. □	has be	oinion has been est en found invalid (R ate indicated above	ules 43 <i>bis</i> .	1 and 64.1	rity had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international the relevant date.
. A	dditional c	bservations, if nec	essary:		
	ox No. V idustrial a	Reasoned state applicability; citati	ment und	er Rule 43 explanatio	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ns supporting such statement
	4.34				
	tatement				
. St			Ves.	Claims	
. St	tatement ovelty (N)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-10
. St			No:	_	
. St	ovelty (N)		No:	Claims	
. Si	ovelty (N) ventive st		No: Yes:	Claims Claims Claims	1-10
. Si	ovelty (N) ventive st	ep (IS)	No: Yes: No:	Claims Claims Claims	1-10
. Si	ovelty (N) ventive st	ep (IS)	No: Yes: No: Yes:	Claims Claims Claims Claims	1-10
. Si No In	ovelty (N) ventive st	ep (IS) oplicability (IA) id explanations	No: Yes: No: Yes:	Claims Claims Claims Claims	1-10
. Si No In	ovelty (N) ventive st dustrial ap	ep (IS) oplicability (IA) id explanations	No: Yes: No: Yes:	Claims Claims Claims Claims	1-10
. Si No In	ovelty (N) ventive st dustrial ap	ep (IS) oplicability (IA) id explanations	No: Yes: No: Yes:	Claims Claims Claims Claims	1-10

see separate sheet

Form PCT/PEA/237 (January 2004)

Re Item V.

1 The following document is referred to in this communication:

D1: WO 99/13463 A (DEN ENDEN GIJSBERT JOSEPH VAN; KONINKL PHILIPS ELECTRONICS NV (NL); P) 18 March 1999 (1999-03-18)

D2: US-A-4,366,564

D3: EP-A-1276101

The feature of independent claim 1 relating to the pregroove comprising a pregroove modulation constituted by pregroove pit areas having a predefined width and depth alternating with pregroove land areas having a reduced depth or a zero depth, appears to be very broad in definition as it reflects any type of pit and land shape and form already known from the general prior art related to pit and land areas in a pregroove. The same argument applies to the feature related to the majority of land areas being located at zero crossings. Here the word majority for both land and pit areas imparts unclarity to the claimed subject-matter. To this end the same argumentation applies to the wording "less pregroove land areas".

Should the literal meaning of said features be taken into account to the extend it can be understood, then the subject-matter of claims 1 and 2 is not new in view of D1, or D2 or D3.

- 3. The argumentation brought forward in item 2 above applies equally to claim 9.
- 4. Dependent claims 3-8 and 10 define trivial features known from general prior art and from either D1-D3 in particular.
- 5. It should also be noted that:
 - a) The independent claims are not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combination from the prior art being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(l) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2004/050220

b) The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b